

Solutions to tutorial exercises for stochastic processes

- T1. (a) Let $x \neq u$, then $c(x, \eta) = c(x, \eta_u)$ for all $\eta \in S$. So we have $\gamma(x, u) = \sup_{\eta} |c(x, \eta) - \eta(x, \eta_u)| = 0$ and also $M = \sup_x \sum_{u \neq x} \gamma(x, u) = 0 < \infty$. Define the generator

$$\mathcal{L}f(\eta) = \sum_{x \in V} c(x, \eta) (f(\eta_x) - f(\eta))$$

on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ f \in C(S) : \sum_{x \in V} \sup_{\eta} |f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Then it follows from Theorem 5.2 that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is a probability generator. So there exists a spin system with rate function $c(x, \eta)$.

- (b) Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of V and consider $V_n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Similar to (a) there exists a spin system $\eta_t^n \in \{0, 1\}^{S_n}$ with rate function $c(x, \eta)$, so that $M = 0$ and

$$\varepsilon_n = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq n, \eta} (c(x_i, \eta) - c(x_i, \eta_{x_i})) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \beta_{x_i} + \delta_{x_i} > 0.$$

It follows that the spin system η_t^n is ergodic. Furthermore the unique stationary measure is given by μ_n under which the state of all x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is Bernoulli distributed with parameter $\frac{\beta_{x_i}}{\beta_{x_i} + \delta_{x_i}}$, independently of each other. This follows from the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{L}_n f(\eta) \mu_n(d\eta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\beta_{x_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x_i)=0\}} + \delta_{x_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x_i)=1\}}) (f(\eta_{x_i}) - f(\eta)) \mu_n(d\eta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{x_i} \beta_{x_i}}{\beta_{x_i} + \delta_{x_i}} \left(\sum_{\eta: \eta(x_i)=0} (f(\eta_{x_i}) - f(\eta)) + \sum_{\eta: \eta(x_i)=1} (f(\eta_{x_i}) - f(\eta)) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let μ be the distribution under which the state of all $x \in V$ is Bernoulli distributed with parameter $\frac{\beta_x}{\beta_x + \delta_x}$, independently of each other, so that $\mu|_{S_n} = \mu_n$. Consider the spin system $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with initial distribution ν . Let $\eta_t^n = \eta_t|_{S_n}$, then (η_t^n) is an ergodic spin system and

$$\mathbb{P}_{\eta_t^n}^\nu \rightarrow \mu_n \quad \text{weakly as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\bigcup_n S_n = S$ and S is compact it follows from the convergence from the finite-dimensional distributions $\mathbb{P}_{\eta_t^n}^\nu$ that the measures on the entire S also converge, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\eta_t}^\nu \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{weakly as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

- T2. (a) Let D be the maximum degree of the graph. Since $c(x, \eta)$ is determined by the configuration of neighbours of x , we have that $a(x, y) = 0$ whenever $x \neq y$ and $x \approx y$. It follows that

$$M := \sup_{x \in V} \sum_{y \neq x} a(x, y) = \sup_{x \in V} \sum_{y \neq x, x \sim y} \sup_{\eta \in S} |c(x, \eta_y) - c(x, \eta)| \leq 2D^2 < \infty.$$

From Theorem 5.2 we conclude that there is a spin system with rate function $c(x, \eta)$.

- (b) Consider $V = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Consider the ‘checkerboard’ configuration $\eta \in S$ given by

$$\eta(x) = \|x\|_1 \pmod{2}.$$

Let $\xi = 1 - \eta$. Then $c(x, \eta) = c(x, \xi) = 0$ for all $x \in V$. It follows that δ_η and δ_ξ are two distinct stationary measures, so that the spin system is not ergodic.

Now let $V = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for m even. We again consider the configurations η given by

$$\eta(x) = x \pmod{2},$$

and $\xi = 1 - \eta$. Then, since m is even, $c(x, \eta) = c(x, \xi) = 0$ for all $x \in V$. It follows that δ_η and δ_ξ are two distinct stationary measures, so that the spin system is not ergodic.

Now consider $V = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for m odd. We can now no longer define the checkerboard configuration, since the neighbours $m-1$ and 0 would receive the same spin. Instead, we will show that this spin system is in fact ergodic. For a configuration η , let $n(\eta)$ be the number of edges for which the vertices have different spin:

$$n(\eta) := \sum_{x \in V} \mathbb{1}\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(x+1)\}.$$

Observe that $n(\eta_t) \geq n(\eta_s)$ for all $t \geq s$. We claim that the unique stationary measure is the uniform measure on the set of configurations for which $n(\eta) = m-1$. We define

$$\eta^k := (0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 1), \quad \xi^k := (1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0),$$

where k is the vertex for which $\eta^k(k) = \eta^k(k+1)$ and $\xi^k(k) = \xi^k(k+1)$. We define

$$\pi := \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \delta_{\eta^k} + \delta_{\xi^k}.$$

The measure π is stationary by T10.3, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{L}f(\eta) d\pi &= \int \sum_{x \in V} c(x, \eta) (f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)) d\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{x \in V} c(x, \eta^k) (f(\eta_x^k) - f(\eta^k)) + c(x, \xi^k) (f(\xi_x^k) - f(\xi^k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} f(\eta_k^k) - f(\eta^k) + f(\eta_{k+1}^k) - f(\eta^k) + f(\xi_k^k) - f(\xi^k) + f(\xi_{k+1}^k) - f(\xi^k) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\eta_k^k = \eta^{k-1}$, $\xi_k^k = \xi^{k-1}$, $\eta_{k+1}^k = \eta^{k+1}$ and $\xi_{k+1}^k = \xi^{k+1}$. It remains to show that π is the only stationary measure. Since V is finite, the spin system is a continuous time Markov chain. The set of configurations

$$A := \{\eta : n(\eta) = m - 1\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} \{\eta^k, \xi^k\},$$

is an absorbing set for the Markov chain. Furthermore, the Markov chain restricted to A is irreducible. It follows that the restricted chain has a unique stationary measure, which then must be π . Finally, since A is the set of absorbing configurations, any stationary measure cannot put weight outside of A . It follows that π is the unique stationary measure for the complete process.

T3. Let \mathcal{L} be the generator of the coupled spin system with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ f \in C(S^2) : \sum_{x \in V} \sup_{(\eta, \zeta)} |f(\eta_x, \zeta) - f(\eta, \zeta)| + |f(\eta, \zeta_x) - f(\eta, \zeta)| + |f(\eta_x, \zeta_x) - f(\eta, \zeta)| < \infty \right\}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(\eta, \zeta) &= \sum_{x \in V} \tilde{c}_1(x, \eta, \zeta) (f(\eta_x, \zeta) - f(\eta, \zeta)) \\ &\quad + \tilde{c}_2(x, \eta, \zeta) (f(\eta, \zeta_x) - f(\eta, \zeta)) + \tilde{c}_3(x, \eta, \zeta) (f(\eta_x, \zeta_x) - f(\eta, \zeta)), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 and \tilde{c}_3 are the rates at which (η, ζ) changes to (η_x, ζ) , (η, ζ_x) and (η_x, ζ_x) respectively. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_1(x, \eta, \zeta) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=0, \zeta(x)=1\}} c_1(x, \eta) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=1\}} (c_1(x, \eta) - c_2(x, \zeta)), \\ \tilde{c}_2(x, \eta, \zeta) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(x)=0\}} (c_2(x, \zeta) - c_1(x, \eta)) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=0, \zeta(x)=1\}} (c_1(x, \eta) - c_2(x, \zeta)), \\ \tilde{c}_3(x, \eta, \zeta) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(x)=0\}} c_1(x, \eta) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=1\}} c_2(x, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$